

Efficacy of Intrathecal Fentanyl and Butorphanol for Lower Limb Orthopedic Surgery: A Randomized Comparative Study.

Inugala Rajkumar Reddy¹, Syed Ali Aasim², Jakkani Manish Kumar³

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute Of Medical Sciences, Bommakal Village, Karimnagar District, Telangana State, India.

²Professor & HOD, Department of Anesthesiology, Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute Of Medical Sciences, Bommakal Village, Karimnagar District, Telangana State, India.

³Post Graduate, Department of Anesthesiology, Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute Of Medical Sciences, Bommakal Village, Karimnagar District, Telangana State, India.

Received: February 2019

Accepted: February 2019

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher. Annals of International Medical and Dental Research (AIMDR) is an Official Publication of "Society for Health Care & Research Development". It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Intrathecal opioids when added to local anaesthetics decrease their dosage and provide haemodynamic stability. Subarachnoid block is a common anesthesia procedure for lower abdominal or lower limb surgeries including perineal surgeries. Objectives: To assess the efficacy of anesthesia and analgesia between intrathecal fentanyl and butorphanol with bupivacaine heavy 0.5% for lower limb orthopaedic surgery. **Methods:** About 120 patients, aged 18-75 years, belonging to American society of anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status 1 or 2 and scheduled for elective, lower limb orthopedic surgeries was randomized into two groups. Group A received 2.5ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.5ml (25µg fentanyl) a total volume of 3ml intrathecally. The Butorphanol was diluted using distilled sterile water to obtain 25µg in 0.5ml. This was then added to 2.5ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine to make a total volume of 3ml which was given to group B. **Results:** The times required for onset of sensory and motor blockade were comparable among the two groups. Significantly slower block regression to S2 level was observed in the group receiving intrathecal butorphanol as compared to intrathecal fentanyl (P<.001). A higher number of patients in group A requested for rescue analgesia during the postoperative period than in group B (11 versus 3; P=0.0326). The average times to first request for rescue analgesia were 254.47±9.31 minutes and 291±8.45 minutes in group A and B, respectively (P<0.001). **Conclusion:** Both 25µg fentanyl and 25µg butorphanol given intrathecally along with 12.5 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine provide effective anesthesia for lower limb surgeries. Intrathecal bupivacaine-butorphanol mixture provides longer duration of sensory blockade and superior analgesia than intrathecal fentanyl-bupivacaine mixture.

Keywords: Intrathecal, Hyperbaric Bupivacaine, Fentanyl, butorphanol, lower limb orthopedic surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Usage of opioids in conjunction with local anesthetic for spinal anesthesia has been associated with decreased pain scores and reduced analgesic requirement in the post-operative period. Spinal anaesthesia is the most popularly performed procedure in the field of anaesthesiology.^[1,2]

Hyperbaric bupivacaine, the local anaesthetic most commonly used, has limitation as its effect lasts only for 1.5-2.0 hours. Hence a lot of adjuvants have been tried to enhance the analgesic effect of bupivacaine.

Opioids have been found to prolong anaesthesia and analgesia, have been seen to improve the quality of analgesia and provide haemodynamic stability. Opioid and local anaesthetic eliminate pain by acting at two different sites. Local anaesthetics act at axon level and opioids act on the receptors present on spine.^[1-3]

Postoperative pain after spinal anesthesia is a common complication in patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgeries. Neuraxial opioids are widely used in conjunction with local anesthetics as they permit the use of lower dose of local anesthetics, while providing adequate anesthesia and analgesia. Neuraxial opioids also allow prolonged analgesia in the postoperative period and faster recovery from spinal anesthesia.^[4,5]

The use of opioids in conjunction with local anesthetic for spinal anesthesia has been associated with decreased pain scores and reduced analgesic requirement in the post-operative period.^[4,5] The

Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr. Inugala Rajkumar Reddy
Associate Professor,
Department of Anesthesiology,
Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute of Medical Sciences,
Bommakal Village, Karimnagar District,
Telangana State, India.

Animal studies have also demonstrated antinociceptive synergism between intrathecal opioids and local anesthetics during visceral and somatic nociception.^[6,7] Hence our aim was to compare the effectiveness of intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine with fentanyl and hyperbaric bupivacaine with butorphanol for lower limb orthopedic surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data

This prospective randomized double blind study was conducted on 120 patients undergoing various lower limb orthopaedic surgeries under subarachnoid block at Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute of Medical Sciences and Research centre, Karimnagar between October 2015 to March 2018, over a period of 30 months.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Patients belonging to American society of anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status 1 or 2.
2. Patients aged between 18 to 75 years.
3. Patients scheduled for elective lower limb orthopedic surgery.
4. The patients willing to give informed written consent.

Exclusion criteria:

1. Patients in whom spinal anesthesia or the study drugs are contraindicated.
2. Patients with neurological disease, spinal deformities, local skin infection or mental disorders; those who are morbidly obese, hemodynamic unstable or having coagulation disorders, or patients with liver disease, impaired renal functions,
3. ASA Physical status >2 or a history of opioid dependence.

Examination and Preparation

Preanesthetic check up was done one day prior to the surgery. Patients were evaluated for any systemic diseases and laboratory investigations were recorded. The procedure of SAB was explained to the patients and written informed consent was obtained.

Method

After meeting inclusion criteria 100 patients were randomly divided into 2 groups, 50 each based on computer generated randomization table.

Group A: Received 2.5ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.5ml(25µg fentanyl) a total volume of 3ml intrathecally.

Group B: Butorphanol was diluted using distilled sterile water to obtain 25µg in 0.5ml. This was then added to 2.5ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine to make a total volume of 3ml.

Anesthetic Procedure: Intrathecal drugs were prepared beforehand to maintain the blinding process. Baseline heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure respiratory rate and peripheral arterial oxygen saturation were recorded for all subjects. All patients received 10ml/kg of lactated ringer solution as preload within 20-30 minutes. Subarachnoid block was performed under strict aseptic conditions in the lateral position at the level of L3-4 or L4-5 Inter vertebral space using 25G Quincke spinal needle. The midline approach was used to perform the spinal blocks after infiltrating the skin with 1ml of 2% Lidocaine. Following the SAB, the patient was put in supine position.

Intraoperative, vitals was recorded at 5 minutes intervals for the first 15 minutes from the time of injection of spinal solution and there after every 30 minutes for the complete period of surgery and every thirty minutes in the postoperative period. This data was recorded by the primary investigator, who was unaware of the patient allocation.

Hypotension less than 20% of base line was treated with fluid boluses and 6 mg IV boluses of Mephenteramine, while bradycardia (HR<50bpm) was treated with 0.6 mg IV atropine. The highest level of sensory block was determined in the midclavicular line bilaterally, by pinprick test using a 20-G hypodermic needle every 2 minutes till the level was stabilized for four consecutive tests. The highest level of sensory block and the time taken to attain it from the time of the intrathecal injection was recorded. Further sensory testing was performed at 20 minutes intervals till the recovery of S2 dermatome. Motor block was assessed using the modified Bromage scale, till achievement of the highest motor level; at the end of the surgery and then at 30min. Side effects such as hypotension, bradycardia nausea vomiting, sedation, pruritus, shivering and respiratory depression was recorded. The quality of postoperative analgesia was assessed using LVAS at 15min, 30min and thereafter every 30minutes, till 2 hours postoperatively; and then every hour, till 4 hours postoperative duration. The time of first request of rescue analgesia was recorded.

Parameters Evaluated:

1. **Duration of sensory block:** Defined as the time from intrathecal injection to regression of pinprick sensation to S2 level.
2. **Degree of motor block:** was assessed using Modified Bromage score
 - A. 0=full movement
 - B. 1=inability to raise extended leg, can bend knee
 - C. 2=inability to bend knee, can flex ankle,
 - D. 3=no movements
3. **Duration of motor block:** Defined as the time from intrathecal injection to the regression of motor block to Bromage score 0.

4. **Hemodynamic parameters:** HR, systolic BP, Diastolic BP, Mean arterial pressure was assessed every 5 minutes till 30minutes then every 30 minutes till end of study period.

The segmental level of sensory block to pin-prick was assessed on both sides. The surgery was allowed to start once sensory block had reached at least T10 dermatome. General anesthesia was induced when the case was labelled as failure. A fall of Systolic BP <20% of baseline was considered as hypotension and was treated with intravenous mephentermine 6 mg bolus and lactated Ringer's solution as required. Heart rate of <50 beats/minute was considered as bradycardia and was treated with Inj atropine 0.6mg IV. The end of study period was defined as the time at which the sensory block had regressed below the S2 dermatome or at which the Bromage score was 0, whichever occurred later.

Assessment of analgesia:

Pain was assessed by visual analogue score (VAS).²⁹ Duration of complete analgesia was defined as the time from the intrathecal injection to VAS >0 - <4 and duration of effective analgesia as the time to VAS >4. Analgesics were avoided until demanded by the patient and the time taken for the first pain medication was also noted (when VAS >6). VAS was also recorded every 30 minutes postoperatively.

Post operatively, monitoring of vital signs, VAS scores and sedation scores was continued every 30 minutes until the time of regression of sensory block to S2 dermatome. The incidence of hypotension was recorded, (arterial blood pressure < 20 % of baseline), and was treated with Inj. Mephentermine 6 mg intravenous increments and bradycardia as pulse rate < 50/ min was recorded and treated by atropine 0.6 mg intravenous stat. Side effects like hypotension, bradycardia, respiratory depression (RR<10), shivering, nausea, vomiting, pruritis were recorded in the perioperative period. Neurological examination was done to rule out any neurological deficits at discharge.

Statistical Analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software 16.0. Data obtained was tabulated in the Excel sheet and Chi-square test for proportion, t – test for Quantitative data. Block characteristics were compared using Mann – Whitney U test.

RESULTS

Both the groups were comparable with respect to Age, Sex, Height, Weight, BMI, level of SAB, ASA score and types of surgery (P values >0.05) [Tables 1 and 2].

Table 1: Patient characteristics and types of surgery.

Parameter	Group A (n=60)	Group B (n=60)	P
Age (Years)	40.95 ± 17.42	37.24 ± 16.12	0.326 NS
Weight (kgs)	68.96 ± 4.4	69.78 ± 4.535	0.636 NS
Height (cm)	171.13 ± 7.64	168.98 ± 7.069	0.452 NS
BMI	22.4±1.34	22.5±1.43	0.08 NS
LP Value (2-3)/(3-4)	25/35	21/39	0.42 NS
ASA status I/II	46/14	52/8	0.15 NS
Type of surgery			
Fracture femur	6	19	0.087
Fracture tibia	18	11	NS
Fracture of bb of leg	20	20	
Arthroscopy	16	10	

NS: Non Significant; Student 't' test applied

Table 2: Gender distribution in frequency and percentage.

Gender	Group A		Group B		Total	
	Freq uency	Perce ntage	Freq uency	Perce ntage	Freq uency	Perce ntage
Male	53	88.83 %	44	73.33 %	97	80.83 %
Female	7	11.17 %	16	26.67 %	23	19.17 %
Total	60	100%	60	100%	120	100%

We found the following observations [Tables 3, 4 & Figure 1-3]

1. A statistical significant difference in duration for regression of sensory block to S2 with Group A 121.1±25.0 minutes compared to group B 153±20.4 minutes (P<0.001).
2. A statistically significant difference in time for regression of motor block to Bromage score 0 with group A 145.4±25.8 minutes as compared with group B 180.6±21.8 minutes (P<0.001).
3. A Bromage score of 3 was achieved in 100% of group B and 80% of group A.
4. Statistical significant difference in time for first rescue analgesia with group A 254.47±49.31 minutes to group B 291±8.45 minutes (P<0.001).
5. HR, Systolic BP, Diastolic BP and MAP decreased after the block in both the groups but were comparatively lower in group B than in group A. Intraoperative hemodynamic parameters were well within normal limits

Table 3: Block characteristics.

Parameter	Group A	Group B	P
Duration of Surgery	135.52 ± 5.679	133.48 ± 4.871	0.057 NS
Duration of motor blockade	168.8 ± 9.180	178.99 ± 13.327	<0.001 HS
Duration of analgesia	245.74 ± 9.220	282.40 ± 8.666	<0.001 HS
Time for sensory regression to s2 level (min)	160.36 ± 8.810	171.14 ± 13.77	<0.001 HS

HS-highly significant, student 't' test applied




Figure 1: Heart rate changes in both groups




Figure 2: Systolic Blood Pressure SBP in both the groups




Figure 3: Diastolic Blood Pressure in both the groups

Table 4: Level of block in the groups

Highest Level Of Sensory Blockade	Group A		Group B		Total	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
T1	0	0	15	25	15	12.5
T6	15	25	2	3.33	17	14.16
T7	12	20	9	15	21	17.5
T8	16	26.66	16	26.66	32	26.66
T9	17	28.33	18	30	35	29.16
Total	60	100	60	100	120	100

P-value = 0.00

DISCUSSION

Intrathecal opioids are quite commonly used as adjunct to local anaesthetics in regional anaesthesia with multiple advantages. The most common causes of mortality in regional anaesthesia are high spinal

and local anaesthetic toxicity. Hence, reduction in the doses of local anaesthetics and better management of local anaesthetic toxicity is possible in this way. Opioids intrathecally decrease nociceptive inputs from A delta and C fibres without affecting dorsal root axons or somatosensory evoked potentials

The principal findings of this study are that intrathecal butorphanol-bupivacaine mixture provides longer duration of sensory blockade and superior analgesia (with lesser requirement for fentanyl-bupivacaine mixture.

The observed duration of analgesia with 20 ml 0.5% Bupivacaine alone to be 2-7 hours (mean 4.76) in our study is consistent with studies of Modig and Paalzov (mean 4.3 hours) and Paech et al (mean 5.2 hours).^{9, 10} We found that the duration of analgesia was prolonged with the addition of 100 µg fentanyl (3-9 h; mean 5.96), consistent with that given by Kim et al and Paech et al.^[10, 11]

The duration of analgesia was longest with B-butorphanol combination (5-10 h; mean 7.64). Studies by Abboud et al, Tan and Gupta et al, using epidural butorphanol for post-operative analgesia have reported the duration of analgesia to be 4-6 h, 5 h and 5.35 h with 0.5 mg, 1 mg, 2 mg and respectively.^[12-14] Malik et al have also reported in their study that butorphanol provided a longer duration of analgesia than fentanyl, similar to our study.^[15]

In our study, both fentanyl and butorphanol along with bupivacaine, provided adequate anesthesia and analgesia; but significantly lesser analgesic requirement was observed in the group receiving intrathecal butorphanol and bupivacaine mixture compared to intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine mixture. The time for first request of analgesia with the use of intrathecal butorphanol and fentanyl, in conjunction with bupivacaine, in our study was about 5 hours and 4 hours respectively from the time of spinal injection. Kim et al. have reported the duration of analgesia of approximately 7 hours after the use of 4 mg bupivacaine with 25 µg fentanyl for TURP.^[10]

Singh V et al have reported that lesser number of patients receiving intrathecal butorphanol requested for rescue analgesia as compared to those receiving intrathecal fentanyl.^[16] We studied the 25 µg dose of intrathecal fentanyl and butorphanol and the results of our study are consistent with experimental evidence of synergistic interaction between spinal opioids and local anesthetics, which are characterized by enhanced somatic analgesia without effect on the degree or level of the local anesthetic induced sympathetic or motor blockade.^[7] The synergism between intrathecal opioids in addition to local anesthetics may be due to the drugs' separate mechanism of action; blockade of Na⁺ channel by local anesthetics³⁶ and voltage-gated Ca⁺⁺ channels with opioids. The combination of opioids with LA

allows for a reduction in doses of the LA, thus lessening the likelihood of side effects.^[16-18]

A low incidence of side effects was observed in our study. We noticed seven patients (17.5%) in the fentanyl treated group and two patients (5%) in the butorphanol-treated group having hypotension requiring treatment with small doses of intravenous mephenteramine (6 mg in 7 and 12 mg in 2 patients) in addition to crystalloid bolus. Earlier studies comparing 25 µg intrathecal fentanyl and butorphanol with hyperbaric bupivacaine, have reported the instance of hypotension as 20% in the fentanyl group and 17% in the butorphanol group.¹⁶ However, animal studies have reported that fentanyl does not potentiate the effect of Bupivacaine on efferent sympathetic pathways.⁷ Furthermore, the addition of fentanyl (20-25 µg) to low-dose bupivacaine (4 mg) has been reported to increase the perioperative quality of spinal blocks with fewer cardiovascular changes in elderly patients.^[18]

Five patients (12.5%) in the group receiving fentanyl- bupivacaine had pruritis compared with none in the group receiving butorphanol-bupivacaine. The pruritis was mild in nature and did not required any treatment. Mallik et al reported an incidence of pruritus with epidural fentanyl to be 23% and with epidural butorphanol as 1.4%.^[15]

The patients were continuously observed for respiratory depression with SpO₂ (< 90%) and RR (< 10). No case of respiratory depression was observed in any group, consistent with other studies.^[15] Although six patients had sedation in the group receiving butorphanol-bupivacaine, as compared with none in the group receiving fentanyl; none of them had respiratory depression. Sedation is a reported side effect of neuraxially administered butorphanol.^[18]

Seven patients were catheterised during the postoperative period due to difficulty in voiding, although the average times to voiding were comparable among both the study groups. Previous studies have reported that intrathecal bupivacaine is associated with a clinically significant disturbance of bladder function and spontaneous voiding may not be expected until the sensory blockade has regressed to the S3 level.^[15-18]

No patient had urinary retention in either of the groups, consistent with the study by Ackerman et al.^[6] The side-effect observed in the majority of patients with butorphanol was somnolence as observed by other authors as well.^[12,15] None of the patients in the study experienced nausea or vomiting as we promptly treated all episodes of hypotension.

Limitations of the study:

1. Absence of a control group (in which patients would have received 2.5 ml of hyperbaric bupivacaine along with 0.5 ml of saline intrathecally). The inclusion of a control group would have further supported our findings.

2. The wide variability in the age of the patients included in the study is a confounding factor in relation to perception of pain as pain perception varies for various age groups.

3. We studied postoperative analgesia in the subjects for duration of 4 hours only and did not record the number of doses and the total dose of rescue analgesic required to relieve pain.

Hence further studies can be aimed at finding the minimal possible doses of intrathecal fentanyl and butorphanol in conjunction with hyperbaric bupivacaine that will provide adequate anesthesia and analgesia for lower limb surgeries.

CONCLUSION

Both 25-µg fentanyl and 25-µg butorphanol given intrathecally with 12.5 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine are equally efficacious in patients undergoing lower limb surgeries instead of bupivacaine alone with minor side effects because:

1. Both opioids Fentanyl or Butorphanol are easily available in the market first one with license as schedule drug other without it when compared, hence useful in peripheral and rural hospital setups.
2. Haemodynamic stability with these combinations is good.
3. Effective Prolonged duration of sensory analgesia.
4. Less side effects compared to morphine.
5. Less addiction potential because of diaphoresis.

Hence intrathecal bupivacaine-butorphanol mixture provides longer duration of sensory blockade and better quality of analgesia than intrathecal fentanylbupivacaine mixture.

REFERENCES

1. Brown D. Miller's Anesthesia. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill living stone; 2010. Spinal, Epidural and caudal anesthesia. In: Miller RD, editor; pp. 1611-38.
2. Murali KT, Panda NB, Batra YK, Rajeev S. Combination of low doses of intrathecal ketamine and midazolam with bupivacaine improves postoperative analgesia in orthopedic surgery. *Eur J Anesthesiol.* 2008;25:299-306.
3. Chakraborty S, Chakrabarti J, Bhattacharya D. Intrathecal tramadol added to bupivacaine as spinal anesthetic increases analgesic effect of the spinal blockade after major gynecological surgeries. *Indian J pharmacol.* 2008;40:180-82.
4. Yu SC, Ngan Kee WD, Kwan AS. Addition of meperidine to bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *Br J Anesth.* 2002;88:379-83.
5. Alhashemi JA, Kaki AM. Effect of intrathecal tramadol administration post operative pain after transurethral resection of prostate. *Br J Anesth.* 2003;91:536-40.
6. Akerman B, Arwestriom E, Post C. Local anesthetics potentiate spinal morphine antinociception. *Anesth Analg* 1988; 67: 943-8.
7. Wang C, Chakrabarti MK, Whitwam JG. Specific enhancement by fentanyl of the effects of intrathecal bupivacaine on nociceptive afferent but not on sympathetic efferent pathways in dogs. *Anesthesiology* 1993; 79: 766-73.

8. Bajwa SJ, Arora V, Kaur J, Singh A, Parmar SS. Comparative evaluation of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl for epidural analgesia in lower limb orthopedic surgeries. *Saudi J Anaesth* 2011;5:365-70.
9. Modig J, Paalzow L. A comparison of epidural morphine and epidural bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1981;25:437-41.
10. Paech MJ, Westmore MD, Speirs HM. A double-blind comparison of epidural bupivacaine and bupivacainefentanyl for caesarean section. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 1990;18:22-30.
11. Kim SY, Cho JE, Hong JY, Koo BN, Kim JM, Kil HK. Comparison of intrathecal fentanyl and sufentanil in low-dose dilute bupivacaine spinal anesthesia for transurethral prostatectomy. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;103:750-4.
12. Abboud TK, Moore M, Zhu J, Murakawa K, Minehart M, Longhitano M et al. Epidural butorphanol for the relief of post-operative pain after caesarean section. *Anaesthesiol* 1986;65:A397.
13. Tan PH, Chou AK, Perng JS, Chung HC, Lee CC, Mok MS. Comparison of epidural butorphanol plus clonidine with butorphanol alone for postoperative pain relief. *Acta Anaesthesiol Sin* 1997;35:91-6.
14. Gupta R, Kaur S, Singh S, Aujla KS. A comparison of Epidural Butorphanol and Tramadol for Postoperative Analgesia Using CSEA Technique. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2011;27:35-8.
15. Malik P, Manchanda C, Malhotra N. Comparative evaluation of epidural fentanyl and butorphanol for post-operative analgesia. *J Anesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2006;22:377-82.
16. Singh V, Gupta LK, Singh GP. Comparison among intrathecal fentanyl and butorphanol in combination with bupivacaine for lower limb surgeries. *J Anesth Clin Pharmacol* 2006;22:371-5.
17. Ackerman WE, Juneja MM, Kaczorowski DM, Colclough GW. A comparison of incidence of pruritis following epidural opioid administration in the parturient. *Can J Anaesth*. 1989;36:388-91.
18. Gunter JB, McAuliffe J, Gregg T, Weidner N, Varughese AM, Sweeney DM. Continuous epidural butorphanol relieves pruritus associated with epidural morphine infusions in children. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2000;10:167-72.

How to cite this article: Reddy IR, Aasim SA, Kumar JM. Efficacy of Intrathecal Fentanyl and Butorphanol for Lower Limb Orthopedic Surgery: A Randomized Comparative Study. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2019; 5(2):AN16-AN21.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared