

IOA Index – The Predictor of Gender Dimorphism

Pinki Kumari¹, Aman Kumar², Mukesh Prasad³, Sanjeev Kumar⁴

¹Senior Resident, Department of FMT, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar.

²Professor & HOD, Department of FMT, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar.

³Assistant professor, Department of FMT, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar.

⁴Associate professor, Department of FMT, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar.

Received: July 2019

Accepted: July 2019

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher. Annals of International Medical and Dental Research (AIMDR) is an Official Publication of “Society for Health Care & Research Development”. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Sex determination is utmost important for forensic experts and Anthropological studies. **Aim:** The aim of this study is to compare cranial morphology between male and female skull using IOA Index. **Methods:** A total of 100 adult dry skull (50 male and 50 female) were used for study using a digital Vernier calliper. **Results:** The male parameters were significantly higher than female parameters with no significance difference in Right and Left side of male and female. **Conclusion:** The skull is most commonly site for sexual dimorphisms in human Skeleton and IOA Index can be additive value gender differentiation.

Keywords: IOA Index, Sex Determination.

INTRODUCTION

The curiosity to explore the morphological characteristics of human skeleton has been the interest of researchers since antique. The measurement of the dry skull from osteological landmark is called Craniometry. Anthropologist pioneered the scientific approach to characterize craniofacial skeleton. The increasing demand from medicolegal investigation of skeletal remains created a surge for more precise identification of the species and its associated attributes. In forensic science the identification of the skeletal remains starts from the determination of sex. The existence of sexual dimorphism in human skeletons and its importance in medicolegal investigations have long been acknowledged. Therefore, human skull is probably the most fascinating bone in the series.

The reliability gender differentiation is almost 100% with entire skeleton, 92% using the skull alone, and 98% when skull combined with pelvis. Krogman and Iscan Even though several postcranial elements have proven to be more effective in sex prediction,^[1] the skull still demonstrated the most dimorphic parts of the skeleton.^[2] There are mainly four available methods of gender differentiation i.e. Morphological, metrical, geometrical morphometries and molecular. Out of these bone morphology is the

oldest. The sexual dimorphism in the human skull is grossly determined by certain factors like general size, nuchal crest, mastoid process, supraorbital ridges, mental eminence etc.

The MAP (mastoidale, asterion and porion) technique of triangular area calculation for sex determination is well established. Saavedra de Paiva and Segre developed the MAP area calculation of the triangular landmark on temporal bone is the easiest technique.^[3] this was further described by Hey. A value of 1447.40 mm² or more is suggestive of male skull, and a value near to 1260.36mm² or less is indicative of female skull. 3 MAP is most studied method worldwide and the reports in this regards are available for different racial and ethnic groups.^[4-12] The racial ethnic variation in the morphological characteristics of skull is obvious.

Despite the increase in research on sex prediction using craniofacial characteristics the data for inion, opistocranium, and asterion landmark is sparse. Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a sex determination technique using inion, opistocranium, and asterion triangle for north Indian population

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Sheikhpura, Patna, using 100 fully ossified and dry adult human skulls (males=50 and Females=50). The study was approved by institutional research committee. A digital venire caliper and micro-tip pencil was used for carrying

Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr. Sanjeev Kumar,
Associate Professor,
Department of FMT,
IGIMS, Patna,
Bihar.

measurement. The following landmarks were marked

- Inion- Inion is the most prominent point in the posterior aspect of the occipital calvarium occurring at the intersection of the left and right superior nuchal lines.
- Opistocranium- Opistocranium is the most posteriorly protruding point on the back of the skull, located in the mid-sagittal plane.
- Asterion- Asterion is the meeting point of the lambdoid, occipitomastoid, and parietomastoid sutures or the point.

Length were measured for

- 1) Asterion- Opistocranium (AO) length.
- 2) Asterion-Inion (AI) length.
- 3) Inion- Opistocranium (IO) length.

IOA index was calculated as:

IOA INDEX =	Inion-Opistocranium	X 100
	Inion –Asterion	

The observed data was subjected statistical analysis using SPSS software version 10.

RESULTS

The observed data revealed, the mean values for Asterion- Opistocranium (AO) length, Asterion-Inion (AI) length and Inion- Opistocranium (IO) length the male cranium were significantly greater than the female. [Figure 1]




Figure 1: mean measured values of male and female skull




Figure 2: Mean values of male skull observed data

The mean observed values of the male skull was found to be 36mm, 69mm 67mm 73mm and 75mm respectively for 1. Inion –Opistocranium length, 2. Asterion Inion Length (Right), 3. Asterion Inion Length (Left), 4. Asterion-Opistocranium length (Right), and 5. Asterion-Opistocranium length (Left). [Figure 2]

The mean observed values of the male skull was found to be 36mm, 60mm, 61mm, 65mm and 66mm respectively for 1. Inion –Opistocranium length, 2. Asterion Inion Length (Right), 3. Asterion Inion Length (Left), 4. Asterion-Opistocranium length (Right), and 5. Asterion-Opistocranium length (Left). [Figure 3]




Figure 3: Mean values of male skull observed data

The IOA index amongst the gender was significantly different, but was comparable and non-significant for the right and left for the same gender. [Figure 4]




Figure 4: IOA index amongst the gender

DISCUSSION

Determination of sex plays a key role for anatomists, anthropologists and forensic experts. Numerous techniques have been described for sex determination including clinical and radiological examination, anthropometry, growth charts, chromosomal analysis but anthropometric measurement of bone still remains supreme.^[13-18] Cranial bone analysis whether morphometric or morphological have played an important role in sex determination.

Body size, proportions and architectural differences are the three primary biological differences amongst genders.^[19] Males generally have more body mass index than females as they have more muscular and more weight of axial skeleton. Lots of studies were

done for sex determination using skull for various parameters like foramen magnum, mastoid triangle, mastoid process, mandible and various lengths and landmarks.^[20,21] The present study of sex determination using IOA Index showed, a significant difference between male and female parameters, with higher values for males. This was in consonance with the previous study of Orish et. al.; and Gupta et.al. In this study area of IOA triangle of male was found to be significantly higher than that of female.

CONCLUSION

The present research showed high level of sexual dimorphism and will be of immense help to forensic expert.

REFERENCES

1. W. M. Krogman and M. Y. Iscan, *Human Skeleton in Forensic Medicine*, Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, Ill, USA, 1986.
2. H. de Villiers, "Sexual dimorphism of the skull of the South African Bantu-speaking Negro," *South African Journal of Science*, vol.64, pp.118–124, 1968.
3. L. A. Saavedra de Paiva and M. Segre, "Sexing the human skull through the mastoid process," *Revista do Hospital das Clinicas*, vol.58, no.1, pp.15–20, 2003.
4. G. Boulmier, "La détermination du sexe des crânes humains à l'aide des fonctions discriminantes," *Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris*, vol.3, no.3-4, pp.301–316, 1968.
5. E. Defrise-Gussenhoven, "A masculinity–femininity scale based on a discriminant function," *Acta Genetica et Statistica Medica*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 198–208, 1966.
6. E. Giles and O. Elliot, "Sex determination by discriminant function analysis of crania," *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, vol.21, pp.53–68, 1963.
7. J. A. Keen, "A study of the differences between male and female skulls," *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, vol.8, no.1, pp. 65–79, 1950.
8. M. Steyn and M. Y. Iscan, "Sexual dimorphism in the crania and mandibles of South African whites," *Forensic Science International*, vol. 98, no. 1-2, pp. 9–16, 1998.
9. M. Steyn and M. Y. Iscan, "Sexual dimorphism in the crania and mandibles of South African whites," *Forensic Science International*, vol. 98, no. 1-2, pp. 9–16, 1998.
10. K. Hanihara, "Sex diagnosis of Japanese skulls and scapulae by means of discriminant function," *Journal of Anthropological Society of Nippon*, vol.67, pp.21–27, 1959.
11. M. Y. Iscan, M. Yoshino, and S. Kato, "Sexual dimorphism in modern Japanese crania," *American Journal of Human Biology*, vol. 7, pp. 459–464, 1995.
12. M. Y. Iscan and S. Ding, *Sexual Dimorphism in the Chinese Cranium*, International Association of Craniofacial Identification, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 1995.
13. Faerman M, et al. DNA Analysis reveals the sex of infanticide victims. *Nature* 1997;385:212.
14. Harvey W. Effects of sex, race, heredity and disease on oral tissues. *Dental Identification & Forensic Odontology*, London, Henry Kimpton Publishers 1976:36-43.
15. Hochmeister MN, et al. Confirmation of the identity of human skeletal remains using multiplex PCR amplification and typing kits. *J Forensic Sci* 1995; 40:701-5.
16. Jarreta MBM. La prueba del ADN en Medicina Forense, 1st ed. Barcelona: Masson, 1999:342.
17. Sivagami AV, et al. A simple and cost-effective method for preparing DNA from the hard tooth tissue, and its use in polymerase chain reaction amplification of amelogenin gene segment for sex determination in an Indian population. *Forensic Sci Int* 2000;110:107-15.
18. Stone AC, et al. Sex determination of ancient human skeletons using DNA. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1996; 99:231-8.
19. Hoymes LE and Iscan MY, "Determination of sex and race: accuracy and assumptions," in *Reconstruction of Life from the Skeleton*, M. Y. Iscan and K. A. R. Kennedy, Eds., pp. 53–94, Wiley-Liss, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
20. Franceschini Jnr et al. Identification of sex using cranial base measurements. *J Forensic Odontostomatol* 2007;25:7-11.
21. Franklin D, Cardini A, Flavel A, Kuliukas A. Estimation of sex from cranial measurements in a Western Australian population. *Forensic Science International* 229 (2013) 158.e1–158.e8.
22. C. N. Orish, B. C. Didia, and H. B. Fawehinmi. Sex Determination Using Inion-Opistocranium-Asterion (IOA) Triangle in Nigerians' Skulls. *Anatomy Research International*. 2014. Pp.1-6.
23. Gopal Gupta, Manish B. Sinha and Piyush Kumar. Sex Determination In North Indian Population Using Inion-Opistocranium -Asterion (IOA) Triangle-A Research Study. 2019; 9 ; 5 pp-34-37.

How to cite this article: Kumari P, Kumar A, Prasad M, Kumar S. IOA Index – The Predictor of Gender Dimorphism. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2019; 5(5):FM04-FM06.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared