# An Assessment of Reliable Tools for Monitoring Primary Congenital Glaucoma Following Surgery

Prashant Bhushan<sup>1</sup>, Deepak Mishra<sup>2</sup>, M K Singh<sup>3</sup>, Kamya Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: July 2020 Accepted: July 2020

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Primary congenital glaucoma afflicts children, Glaucoma surgery is the mainstay of the treatment to preserve useful vision, intraocular pressure(IOP), corneal diameter changes and fundus changes are the common tools used to monitor progress post operatively, subtle fundus changes suffer from observer bias, this study compares IOP and Corneal diameter as reliable tool for monitoring progress in such patients. **Methods:** 40 patients were included in the study; they were randomly distributed in two groups for two different surgeries for glaucoma, IOP and corneal diameter changes were recorded for a year at intervals. **Results:** The IOP showed a sharp decline in both the groups, so much so that the changes were comparable in two groups. The corneal diameter changes were not significant when compared. **Conclusion:** IOP is a better criterion to monitor progress in post operative duration of primary congenital glaucoma patients.

Keywords: Primary congenital glaucoma, Reliable tool, Corneal diameter changes.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Primary congenital glaucoma (PCG) is one of the prominent preventable causes of childhood blindness. Surgery is the treatment of choice Trabeculectomy alone or Trabeculectomy with Trabeculotomy are considered ideal choices for the condition.<sup>[1,2]</sup> The purpose of these procedures is to reduce the Intra ocular pressure [IOP], and thus prevent impending blindness and physical changes like buphthalmos. It is known that the infantile human eye grows maximally in the first five years of life, the first two years are the most crucial as the growth is fastest. This when superimposed with a high IOP causes an increase in axial length and in the corneal diameter, as the infantile ocular tissues are soft and elastic.[3] Increase in the size of the eyeball can cause axial myopia and increase in corneal diameter, thus IOP measurements are masked to normal or near normal at follow ups, but changes of corneal diameter, and fundus changes may be present. The increase in the two parameters together or independently may be signs indicating a worsening of the condition.<sup>[4]</sup> The commonest sign relied upon are changes in IOP and that of corneal diameter (fundus changes suffer from observer bias). This study is aimed at finding, which may be more reliable criteria between the two.

# Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr. Prashant Bhushan, Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh India, Email- dr.prashantbhu@gmail.com

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Institution, and the research was in accordance with the tenets of Helsinki. Patients were recruited randomly in the Glaucoma clinic of a tertiary care hospital of India,

40 patients were included in the study between 2012 and 2014. The patients were randomized into two groups for surgical procedures for treatment of Primary congenital glaucoma. Group 1 had 18 patients and was operated by one surgical procedure and group 2 had 22 patients were operated by different surgical procedure. Only patients with PCG in the age between 0-14 years were included, whereas patients above 14 years of age were excluded from the study.

All patients underwent IOP measurement and corneal diameter measurements pre operatively, one week postoperatively, subsequently at 3, 6, 9 and 12 monthly follow ups. Student's t- test was used to compare values. I-care tonometer was used in patients who could not cooperate for applanation tonometery. Horizontal corneal diameter was measured under general anesthesia by Castroviejo callipers. Values greater than 10.5 mm at birth or greater than 11.5mm at one year were taken as cutoff.

#### RESULTS

Table 1: Age distribution of patients included in the study, in the two groups

Age wise distribution Age group	Group 1(n=18) Operated by one method for glaucoma	Group 2(n=22) Operated by another method for glaucoma
0-<5 years	11	13
5-<10 Years	5	7
10-<16 Years	2	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology. Institute of medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Bhushan et al; Tools for Monitoring Primary Congenital Glaucoma

Table 2: Changes in Intraocular pressure (IOP) preoperatively and postoperatively in the two study groups

groups	~ .	~ •	_
Comparison of IOP 0n	Group 1 (mean±SD)	Group 2 (mean±SD)	p-value
follow up	,	,	
IOP pre-	$30.93 \pm 2.84$	$30.13 \pm 3.50$	0.498
operative			
IOP 7day post-	$12.40 \pm 2.13$	$14.73 \pm 2.60$	0.012
operative			
IOP 1 month	$14.07 \pm 1.90$	$16.53 \pm 2-06$	0.002
post-operative			
IOP 3 month	$15.20 \pm 1.78$	$17.93 \pm 2.25$	0.001
post-operative			
IOP 6 month	$16.67 \pm 1.83$	$20.73 \pm 3.63$	0.001
post-operative			
IOP 9 month	$16.87 \pm 1.88$	$20.73 \pm 3.63$	0.001
post-operative			
IOP 12 month	$16.72 \pm 1.33$	$20.11 \pm 2.21$	0.001
post-operative			

Table 3: Changes in Corneal diameter preoperatively and post operatively in the two study groups

and post operatively in the two study groups					
Comparison of corneal	Group 1 (Mean ±	Group 2 (Mean ±	p-value		
diameter on	SD)	SD)			
follow up					
Corneal diameter	13.527 ±	13.233 ±	0.267		
pre-operative	0.7005	0.7188			
Corneal diameter	13.580 ±	13.280 ±	0.271		
7 day post-	0.7370	0.7253			
operative					
Corneal diameter	13.580 ±	13.253 ±	0.228		
1 month post-	0.7193	0.7337			
operative					
Corneal diameter	13.500 ±	$13.200 \pm$	0.253		
3 month post-	0.6793	0.7280			
operative					
Corneal diameter	13.453 ±	13.147 ±	0.238		
6 month post-	0.6696	0.7220			
operative					
Corneal diameter	13.252 ±	13.122 ±	0.236		
12 post-operative	0.5462	0.632			
month					

## **DISCUSSION**

Patients above 10 years of age were only, [4] [Table 1] hence I-Care tonometer was largely used as the device for IOP measurement. IOP is the only risk factor in glaucoma which can be modified by drugs or surgery, [5] hence reduction of IOP was studied, both groups show a consistent reduction of IOP over the year [Table 2], their p-values showing a difference when values from both groups are compared, the values reduce to stable values at six months and thence form a plateau, this is in consonance with Jiang et al,[6] who have shown a stable IOP following glaucoma surgery, followed by a regression. Panarelli et al,[7] have suggested manipulations following surgery to achieve stabilization of IOP for prolonged benefit of the patient, thus claiming control over this parameter. The changes in IOP in our study over time are significant values and hence easily comparable to value of previous visit.

Corneal diameter changes show a regression back to normal [Table 3], the values when compared in both the groups are comparable, but the changes are subtle, and not easily comparable with values on previous visit. Dietlein et al,<sup>[8]</sup> have also reported no significant change in corneal diameter of congenital glaucoma patients following glaucoma surgery. The authors of this study have compared two surgical procedures in the same set of patients and found that the difference in the two surgical groups were not significant.<sup>[9]</sup> The results of the above study also tell us that there is no relationship between decrease in IOP and corneal diameter changes. Studies have also not shown evidence to any relationship.<sup>[10,11]</sup>

## **CONCLUSION**

In patients of primary congenital glaucoma, IOP is a better criterion to assess the success of a surgical procedure as compare to changes in the corneal diameter.

#### **Ethical Clearance**

The study was undertaken as Post graduate thesis for Masters in Ophthalmology, the ethical clearance was given by the Institute Ethical Committee of the Institute of medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University UP, India.

#### REFERENCES

- Papadopoulos M, Cable N, Rahi J, et al. The British Infantile and Childhood Glaucoma (BIG) eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007;48:4100–6.
- Mandal AK, Gothwal VK, Bagga H, Nutheti R, Mansoori T. Outcome of surgery on infants younger than 1 month with congenital glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 2003;110:1909–15
- Aziz A, Fakhoury O, Matonti F, Pieri E, Denis D. [Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of primary congenital glaucoma]. J Fr Ophtalmol. 2015 Dec;38(10):960-6
- Strouthidis, N.G., Papadopoulos, M. Clinical Evaluation of Glaucoma in Children. Curr Ophthalmol Rep 1, 106–112 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40135-013-0012-6
- Coleman AL, Kodjebacheva G. Risk factors for glaucoma needing more attention. Ophthalmol J. 2009 Sep 17;3:38-42. doi: 10.2174/1874364100903020038. PMID: 19816585; PMCID: PMC2759104.
- Jiang L, Eaves S, Dhillon N, Ranjit P. Postoperative outcomes following trabeculectomy and nonpenetrating surgical procedures: a 5-year longitudinal study. Clin Ophthalmol. 2018 May 25;12:995-1002. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S163247. PMID: 29872259; PMCID: PMC5973473.
- Panarelli JF, Nayak NV, Sidoti PA. Postoperative management of Trabeculectomy and glaucoma drainage implant surgery Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2016, 27:170–176.
- 8. Dietlein TS, Jacobi PC, Krieglstein GK Prognosis of primary ab externo surgery for primary congenital glaucoma British Journal of Ophthalmology 1999; 83:317-322.
- Bhushan P, Sharma K. Surgical outcomes in primary congenital glaucoma- A one year follow up Indian journal of clinical and experimental ophthalmology 2017; 3(3): 277-281
- Popa-Cherecheanu A, Iancu RC, Schmetterer L, Pirvulescu R, Coviltir V. Intraocular Pressure, Axial Length, and Refractive Changes after Phacoemulsification and Trabeculectomy for Open-Angle Glaucoma. J Ophthalmol. 2017;2017:1203269

# Bhushan et al; Tools for Monitoring Trimary Congenital Glaucoma

 Pillunat KR, Spoerl E,Terai N,Pillunat LE. Corneal biomechanical changes after trabeculectomy and the impact of intraocular pressure measurement. J Glaucoma 2017 Mar 26(3):278-282.

Copyright: © Annals of International Medical and Dental Research. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**How to cite this article:** Bhushan P, Mishra D, Singh MK, Sharma K. An Assessment of Reliable Tools for Monitoring Primary Congenital Glaucoma Following Surgery. Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res. 2020; 6(5):OT01-OT03.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared