Pre-Operative Prediction of Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Using Clinical and Ultrasonographic Parameters.

Sudhir Kumar Mohanty[1], Ramakanta Mohanty[2]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, SCB Medical College, Cuttack Odisha, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, SCB Medical College, Cuttack Odisha, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Aim of our study is pre-operative prediction of conversion using clinical, hematological, biochemical and ultra sonographic parameters in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. **Methods:** 748 patients underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in our institute during the period of 2008 to 2011 are included in this study. Clinical parameters are age, sex, BMI, Fever, tenderness, Duration from last attack, total duration of symptoms, diabetic status. Haematological parameters included are total leukocyte count, serum amylase. Ultrasonographic parameters included are gall bladder wall thickness, number of stones, pericholecystic edema, CBD diameter. Patients having jaundice, CBD stones, raised alkaline phosphatise are excluded from the study. All patients were operated by senior laparoscopic surgeons. Before converting, opinion from the other experienced surgeon was taken. **Results:** Mean patient age was 34.2 years. Conversion rate is 4.02%. The mean age in non-converted cases was not statistically different from the conversion group (p>0.05). The conversion rate in males was also not significantly different from that in females (p>0.05). Logistic univariate analysis showed that age, sex, duration of symptoms are not statistically significant(p>0.05) but body mass index (BMI), fever, number of stones, number of attacks, previous history of acute cholecystitis, obesity, presence of tenderness, gall bladder wall thickness on ultrasonography (USG) and raised total leucocyte counts (TLC) were significant for conversion(p < 0.05). Stepwise logistic regression showed that only number of attacks, obesity, TLC & wall thickness were significant. The predictive scoring system was calculated by β-coefficients of the variables found significant on logistic regression. Increasing scores are associated with significantly increased conversions rate. Evaluating the significance of this scoring system with prediction of conversion, it was noticed that the test was highly significant for prediction of conversion. **Conclusion:** Pre operative prediction for difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy is possible, it can help the patient as well as the surgeon prepare better for the intraoperative risk and risk of conversion to open cholecystectomy.

Keywords: cholecystectomy, laparoscopic, ultrasonography.

INTRODUCTION

Conversion rate in laparoscopic cholecystectomy is still 1.5-19%. Our aim was to look for various factors and to make a predictive index which can predict the chances of conversion. Methods: We included 536 patients with laparoscopic cholecystectomy during 2008 to 2011. A total of 64 patients needed conversion. Twenty four patients who underwent conversion because of non-surgical reasons were excluded. Criteria of exclusion were: history of jaundice, cholangitis, raised alkaline phosphatase, dilated common bile duct (CBD) and patients with CBD stones. Patients were evaluated in terms of clinical, hematological, biochemical and ultrasonographic parameters. Results: Overall conversion rate was 7.81%. Univariate analysis showed that body mass index (BMI), fever at the time of attack, number of stones, number of attacks, previous history of acute cholecystitis, presence of tenderness, gall bladder wall thickness on ultrasonography (USG) and raised total leucocyte counts (TLC) were significant for conversion. Stepwise logistic regression showed that only number of attacks, TLC & wall thickness were significant. Probability of prediction : $P = ey/(1+ey)$ and $Y = -9. 2015 + (0.3623 \times \text{Number of Attacks}) + (0.0003 \times \text{TLC}) + (0.8633 \times \text{Wall Thickness})$, where 'e' is the exponential constant -2.7182, number of attacks is '1' if > 5 and '0' for < 5, TLC = '1' if counts are > 11,000/cu.mm and '0' if within normal range, and wall thickness is '1' if > 4 mm and '0' for < 4 mm on USG. Conclusion: Yes, it is possible to predict the risk of conversion and patients can be informed preoperatively.

Name & Address of Corresponding Author
Dr Ramakanta Mohanty
Assistant professor,
Department of Surgery,
SCB Medical College, Cuttack
Odisha, India.

Since its advent in 1987, laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has become the gold standard

for symptomatic gall stones. In spite of increasing expertise and advances in technology conversion rate is still 1.5-19% in different centers . The incidence of conversion is less in centers where LC is attempted in a selected group of patients. This conversion is neither a failure nor a complication, but an attempt to avoid complications. It would be useful to have some reliable predictive factors for conversion in LC so that patients may be informed appropriately and they have chance to make arrangements regarding their work and family. Similarly, the surgeon may schedule the time and team for surgery, because these high-risk patients are not candidates for routine resident training. Studies have shown that there are higher incidences of post-operative complications and longer hospital stays in converted patients when compared with both the laparoscopic and the open cholecystectomy group 2. Scoring systems are designed in some studies for better understanding and for easy prediction of conversion.[1,3] The risk factors had been reviewed recently.[4] Our aim was to look for the various factors and to make a predictive index which can predict which patient may need to be converted, thus suitably opting for the operating procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We included 536 patients who underwent LC in our hospital. A total of 64 patients needed conversion. Twenty-four patients who underwent conversion because of anesthetic complications and presence of other co-morbidities were excluded from the study. Patients with history of jaundice, cholangitis, raised alkaline phosphatase or dilated common bile duct (CBD) were evaluated further by ERCP and patients with CBD stones were excluded. All cases were operated by a single experienced senior surgeon. All patients were evaluated in terms of clinical, hematological, biochemical and ultrasonographic parameters [Table 1]. Conversion rate and reasons for conversions were also noted.

Table 1: List of various parameters assessed

Clinical	Haematological and Biochemical	Ultrasonography
• Age • Sex • BMI • Parity • Duration of last attack • Total duration of symptoms • History of fever at the time of attack • Tenderness • Previous history of acute attack.	• TLC • Serum amylase • Serum LDH • Serum TG • Serum LDL	• Gall bladder Status (contracted, normal or distended) • Wall thickness (in mm) • No of stones (Single or multiple) • Peri cholecystic abscess (Y /N) • CBD diameter (in mm) • CBD stone (present / absent)

*GB- Gall Bladder; Y-Yes, N-No; TG-Triglyceride

RESULTS

We included 536 patients of chronic cholecystitis who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy and 64 required conversion. Twenty-four patients who underwent conversion because of technical difficulties were excluded from this study. So this study was effectively carried out on 512 patients with an overall conversion rate of 7.81%. The mean age of patients was 38.07 ± 10.16 years (range: 17-73). The maximum numbers of patients were in the age group of 31-40 years (46.5%). The mean age in non-converted cases was not statistically different from the conversion group (p>0.05). The conversion rate in males (10.41%) was also not significantly different from that in females (7.18%, p = 0.456). Of the 512 patients, 180 (35.15%) patients had history of acute cholecystitis attacks and the rest had history of dyspeptic symptoms. Patients with history of an acute attack had a significantly higher conversion rate (15.6% vs. 3.6%, p <0.001). Total duration of symptoms and duration between surgery and last attack was not significantly different in the conversion and the non-conversion group, but it was found that the conversion rate was higher in patients with >5 attacks and the number of attacks was a statistically significant factor [Table 2]. Conversion rate was also significantly higher in patients with history of fever (17.46% vs. 4.66%) and tenderness in the right hypocondrium at presentation (36% vs. 4.8%).

Table 2: Change in frequency of conversion with number of attacks.

No of attack	Frequency Number (%)	Converted Number (%)	Non Converted Number (%)
0	312 (60.93)	12 (2.61)	300(58.59)
1	46 (8.98)	2 (0.39)	44(8.59)
2-5	114 (22.24)	6(1.17)	108(21.09)
6-10	36(7.03)	20(3.90)	16(3.12)
>10	4 (0.78)	-	4(0.78)
Total	512 (100)	40(7.81)	472(92.19)
Mean ± SD	1.16±2.22	2.33±1.22	0.83±1.47
Range	0-15	0-8	0-15

P Value -0.001

In this study of 512 patients, there were 50 (9.77%) patients with raised TLC. Twenty-two (4.76%) patients with normal values underwent conversion as compared to 18 (36%) patients with raised counts. The mean TLC in the conversion group was $10,195 \pm 2,792.37$, ranging from 6,400 to 16,000/cmm. The mean of the counts in the non-conversion group was $7,628.38 \pm 1,846.00$, ranging from 4,200 to 14,800. TLCs were found to be statistically significant for risk of conversion [Table 3].

Table 3: Change in frequency of conversion with change in Total Leukocyte Count.

TLC (Cell per mm3)	Frequency No (%)	Converted No (%)	Non converted No (%)
>11,000	50(9.7)	18(3.51)	32(6.25)
4,000-11,000	462(90.23)	22(4.29)	440(85.93)
Total	512(100)	40(7.81)	472(92.19)
Mean ±SD	7838.9±2048.84	10195±2792.77	7628.38±1840.00
Range	4200-10,000	6400-16000	4200-14800

The patients were grouped into two groups, with BMI up to 30 kg/m2 and above 30 kg/m2. In low BMI patients, 18 (4.81%) patients were converted while 22 (15.94%) obese patients with high BMI underwent conversion. Statistically, BMI was found to be significant for risk of conversion (p = 0.003). Serum LDH, serum amylase and serum triglycerides were also evaluated, but none of these was statistically significant.

With evaluation of patients by ultrasonographic parameters, 82 patients (16%) had contracted gall bladder, and 430 patients (84%) had non-contracted gall bladder. In the contracted gallbladder group, 12 patients (14.63%) underwent conversion while in the non-contracted gallbladder group 28 (6.51%) underwent conversion. The difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (p>0.05). A total of 336 patients had normal wall thickness with a conversion rate of 2.98% as compared to 29.4% with thick gall bladder wall (p<0.05). The minimum wall thickness beyond which the risk of conversion increased statistically was 4 mm (Table 4). A single stone was present in 150 patients (29.3%), while 362 patients (70.7%) had more than one stone. Conversion rate in each group was 13.33% and 5.52%, respectively, with a p-value of 0.0341. Patients who had at least one stone greater than 10mm in diameter were not having statistically significant difference in conversion rate as compared to those with stone sizes less than 10mm (p=0.531).

Table 4: Change in frequency of conversion with change in gallbladder wall thickness on USG.

Wall thickness (mm)	Frequency No. (%)	Converted No. (%)	Non converted No. (%)
Upto 3.00	336(65.6)	10(2.97)	326(97.02)
3.1-4.00	102(19.9)	14(13.73)	88(86.27)
4.1-5.00	48(9.4)	2(4.17)	46(95.83)
5.1-6.00	16(3.1)	10(62.5)	6(37.5)
>6.00	10(2.0)	4(40%)	6(60%)
Total	512(100)	40(7.81%)	472(92.19)
Mean ±SD	3.40±0.82	4.30±1.79	3.30±0.68
Range	3.00-8.20	3.00-7.45	3.00-3.20

P value =4.482

Statistical Analysis
Univariate analysis showed that BMI, fever at the time of attack, number of stones, number of attacks, previous history of acute cholecystitis, presence of tenderness in the right hypochondrium, gall bladder wall thickness on USG and raised TLCs were statistically significant for risk of conversion [Table 5].

Table 5: Logistic regression of univariate significant variables

Variable	Co-efficient	OSE	P	Odd's Ratio	95% CL Lower	95% CL Upper
BMI	0.9409	1.30901	0.4723	2.5633	0.197	33.3316
Fever	-0.6365	1.4191	0.6640	0.5398	0.0334	8.7133
No of attacks	0.4520	0.35899	0.2067	1.5715	0.7791	3.8698
No of stones	1.4347	1.4078	0.3081	4.1982	0.2659	66.2748
Tenderness	3.6120	2.4619	0.1423	37.0387	0.24292	4615.3397
TLC	0.0004	0.0003	0.2168	1.0004	0.9998	1.0810
Wall thickness	2.4196	1.0891	0.9268	11.1520	0.01191	34.2806
Acute cholecystitis	-2.6125	1.3693	0.1847	0.0734	0.0015	3.4837
Constant	-17.0834	6.6476	0.0102			

Stepwise logistic regression to find the independent risk factors showed only wall thickness (p=0.02) to be statistically significant, then stepwise logistic regression was performed deleting one non-significant variable at a time and final logistic regression matrix showed that only number of attacks, TLC counts & wall thickness were statistically significant [Table 6].

Table 6: Final matrix showing significant variables on logistic regression

Variables	β coefficient	SE	Value	ADDS Ration	Lower	Upper
No. of attack	0.3623	0.09888	0.00002	1.4366	1.1836	1.7437
TLC	0.0009	0.0001	0.0279	1.0003	1.0000	1.0006
Gall Bladder wall thickness on USG	0.8633	0.2358	0.0003	2.3209	1.4923	3.7636
Constant	-9.2015	1.5579	0.0000			

Predictive Index Probability of prediction in a particular patient can be calculated by the following formula. $P = e^y / (1+e^y)$ and $y = -9.2015 + (0.3623 \times$ Number of attacks) $+ (0.0003 \times$ TLC) $+ (0.8633 \times$ Wall thickness), where `e' is the exponential constant

-2.7182, Number of attacks is '1' if > 5 and '0' for < 5, TLC = '1' if the counts are >11,000/cu.mm and '0' if the counts are within normal range, wall thickness is '1' if > 4 mm and '0' if < 4 mm on USG. Scoring system for conversion prediction The β-coefficients of the variables found significant on logistic regression were considered for a scoring system: The coefficients were rounded and multiplied by 10 for easy calculation. These coefficients and a constant formed the risk score for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy [Table 7].

Table 7: Risk Score For Conversion From Laparoscopic To Open Cholecystectomy

Parameter	Frequency	coefficient
No. of attack	≤5	0
	>5	4
TLC	≤11,000	0
	>11,000	1
GB wall thickness	≤4 mm	0
	>4 mm	9
Constant		-10

The sum of the coefficients and the constant gives the final score of the patient and can take a value between -10 and 4. Increasing scores are associated with significantly increased conversions rate. The mean risk score in non-converted patients was about - 9.0, while in the converted patients it was about - 2.0. The range of scores in converted patients was from -10 to 4 and in the non-conversion group the range was from -10 to 0. Evaluating the significance of this scoring system with prediction of conversion, it was noticed that the test was highly significant for prediction of conversion [Table 8].

Table 8: Comparison of risk scores in conversion and non-conversion group

Score	Total (512)	converted	Non converted (472)
Meen ±SD	8.9942±3.46	2.00±4.74	8.9958 ±2.71
Range	-10 to 4	-10 to 4	-10 to 0

The most important reason for conversion was adhesions at Calot's triangle (35%), followed by contracted gall bladder (20%). The other reasons of conversion were empyema of the gall bladder (10%), stone at Hartmann's pouch (10%), bleeding during dissection (10%), dilated cystic duct with stone (5%), short cystic duct (5%), injury to the stomach (5%) and injury to the CBD (5%).

DISCUSSION

In view of laparoscopic cholecystectomy being the gold standard treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis, preoperative prediction of the risk of conversion is an important aspect of planning laparoscopic surgery. The "risk scoring for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy" (RSCLO) was developed by

Kama et al.[1] The successful efficacy of this system has been proved.[5]

In most of the studies on patients with advanced age (>65 years), an increase in complication and conversion rate is reported and age is recognized as a risk factor for conversion.[1,2,6,-11] We and some other authors did not notice age to be associated with conversion rate.[12,13] This varied opinion could be attributed probably to surgeons' experience and expertise.

Male sex was considered an independent risk in many series.[1,6,7,12,14-16] However, Liu et al. did not notice sex to be associated with conversion. In our series, male sex and parity was not a risk factor for conversion. In the literature, we found no study assessing parity as a risk factor for conversion.

In our study, there was significantly more risk of conversion in patients with previous history of acute cholecystitis (p<0.001). The same has been observed in other studies as well.[1,2,6,16,17] Association of total duration of symptoms with conversion has also been evaluated. Alponat et al. did not notice association of conversion with duration of symptoms in both univariate and multivariate analysis. Duration of symptoms is also not found to be associated with risk of conversion in our series (p=0.446). Sanabria et al.[7] found in their study of 628 patients that patients with multiple attacks (ten or more) were significantly associated with conversion, but in our study we found significance with a number of attacks more than five. Schrenk et al.[3] reported in a study of 300 patients assessing 24 variables for conversion that patients with history of acute cholecystitis within the last 3 weeks were at increased risk of conversion. Brodsky et al.[9] reported that patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy after 96 hours after an attack of acute cholecystitis were more likely to undergo conversion. In this series, we took a longer period (before or after 90 days) for assessment of this risk factor and we found it insignificant.

Morbid obesity is known to be associated with difficult surgery and increased risk for conversion.[2,6,16,17] Hutchinson et al.[12] reported a two-time increase in conversion rate when BMI is >27.2 kg/m.[2] We also found obesity as a significant risk factor for conversion. Some workers did not associate obesity with conversion in their study.[1]

Fever has been identified as a risk factor for conversion in our series and by many workers in their studies,[3,9,10,13] but some found it insignificant.[1,2] Tenderness has also been reported as a risk factor for conversion by some authors,[1,3,19] as in our study. Many authors have identified raised WBC as a risk factor for predicting conversion.[1,3,9,11,10,17,20,23] In our study, there was significantly more risk of conversion in patients having TLC >11000/cmm. This can be probably attributed to persisting acute inflammation with

edema of the gall bladder making surgery difficult. Moreover, patients with raised counts in cases of acute cholecystitis are likely to have a complicated gall bladder.

Many others have identified a contracted gall bladder as a potential factor for conversion Schrenk et al.[1,3,8,15] identified shrunken gall bladder as an independent risk factor for conversion along with other variables. In a study of 738 patients, Jansen et al:[8] found contracted gall bladder to be statistically significant for risk of conversion. Gall bladder wall thickness has been identified as a risk factor for conversion in almost all the studies. The critical thickness of gall bladder associated with conversion varies from study to study. It was 3mm 6,16, 4mm 1,8 , and 6mm 24 in different studies. In present series, it was 4mm.

Most of the authors did not find any statistical significance with number of stones and risk of conversion.[8,12] But we found that patients with a single stone had more chance of conversion. Many authors found no statistical significance between size of stones and conversion.[1,6] We also found the same, but Jansen et al8. found that stone size >20mm was associated with increased risk of conversion explaining that large stones are likely to get impacted at Hartmann's pouch, thereby making dissection difficult.

A predictive index has been equated in various studies. In a study of 1,676 patients, Fried et al.[6] calculated the predictive index for conversion. The probability of conversion was predicted by 'P', where $P = e\,Y / (1+ey)$ and $y = -5.7924 + (0.0248 \times Age) + (0.8935 \times Sex) + (1.7764 \times Acute) + (0.5294 \times Thick)$. "Age" is the actual age, "Sex" = 1 if the patient is male and 0 if female, "Acute" = 1 if acute cholecystitis is present or '0' if absent, "Obesity" = 1 if the patient is obese and '0' if not obese, "Thick" = 1 if USG demonstrates thickness of gall bladder wall or '0' if the wall is not thickened. Nachnani et al.[16] also proposed a predictive index as the following equation: $P=e\,Y / (1+e\,Y)$, where P indicates the predicted probability of conversion of LC to open cholecystectomy (OC), `e' is the exponential constant 2.7182, and $y = - 1.4198 -1.0218$ (Gender)- 2.7588 (Acute Cholecystitis/Acute Pancreatitis) + 1.7550 (Obesity) + 1.8303 (GB Thickness)- 1.4604 (Surgery). A higher value of P indicates a higher likelihood of conversion, with a value of 1.0 indicating certainty of conversion to OC.

We also equated the predictive index showing $P = ey / (1+ey)$, in which `e' is the exponential constant -2.7182 and $y = -9.2015 + (0.3623$ x Number of Attacks$) + (0.0003$ x TLC$) + (0.8633 \times$ Wall thickness$)$. "Number of Attacks" is '1' if attacks are more than 5 and '0' if the number of attacks is less than or equal to 5, "TLC" = '1' if the counts are more than 11,000/cu.mm and '0' if the counts are within normal range, "wall thickness" is '1' if more than 4 mm and '0' if up to 4 mm on USG.

Tayeb et al.[17] presents the multivariate model of risk factors independently associated with conversion. Patients with ultrasonographic signs of inflammation (gall bladder wall thickness>3mm, edematous wall, peri-cholecystic fluid, and ultrasonographic Murphy's sign) were 8.5 times more likely to be converted to OC compared to the patients who underwent successful LC after adjusting all other variables in the model. Age>60 years was also identified as a risk factor for conversion.

CONCLUSION

Recent series state that presence of previous upper abdominal surgery with AC and obesity had a substantial effect on conversion, compared with the reference group. LC is safe in patients with AC, previous abdominal surgery, or obesity. However, the presence of inflammation alone or in combination with obesity and/or previous (especially upper) abdominal surgery is the main factor that influences the adverse outcomes of LC.

REFERENCES

1. Kama NA, Kologlu M, Doganay M, et al. A risk score for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Am J Surg 2001;181:520-5. (s) .
2. Liu CL, Fan ST, Lai EC, et al. Factors affecting conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. Arch Surg 1996;131:98-101. (s).
3. Schrenk P, Woisetschlager R, Reiger R, et al. A diagnostic score to predict the difficulty of a laparoscopic cholecystectomy from preoperative variables Surg Endosc 1998;12:148-50. (s).
4. Tang B, Cuschieri A. Conversions during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: risk factors and effects on patient outcome. J Gastrointest Surg. 2006;10:1081-91. (s)
5. Buibuller N, Yavuz SI, Ahmet B, et al. Implementation of a Scoring System for Assessing Difficult Cholecystectomies in a Single Center. Surg Today. 2006;36:37-40. (s)
6. Fried GM, Barkun JS, Sigman HH, et al. Factors determining conversion to laparotomy in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Am J Surg 1994;167:35-41. (s).
7. Sanabria JR, Gallinger S, Croxford R, et al. Risk factors in elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy for conversion to open cholecystectomy. J Am Coll Surg 1994;179:696-704. (s).
8. Jansen S, Jorgensen J, Caplehorn J, et al. Preoperative ultrasound to predict conversions in laproscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1997;7:121-3. (s)
9. Brodsky A, Matter I, Sabo E, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: Can the need for conversion and the probability of complications be predicted? A prospective study. Surg Endosc 2000;14:755-60. (s)
10. Bedirli A, Sakrak O, Sozuer EM, et al. Factors effecting the complications in the natural history of acute cholecystitis. Hepatogastroenterology 2001;48:1275-8. (s)
11. Brunt LM, Quasebarth MA, Dunnegan DL, et al. Outcome and analysis of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the extremely elderly. Surg Endosc 2001;15:700-5 (s)
12. Hutchinson CH, Traverso LW, Lee FT. Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Do preoperative factors predict the need to convert to open? Surg Endosc 1994;8:875-8. (s)

13. Teixeira JP, Saraiva AC, Cabral AC, et al. Conversion factors in laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Hepatogastroenterology 2000;47:626-30. (s)
14. Zisman A, Gold-Deutch R, Zisman E, et al. Is male gender a risk factor for conversion of laparoscopic into open cholecystectomy? Surg Endosc 1996;10:892-4 (s)
15. Sikora SS, Kumar A, Sexana R, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy - can conversion be predicted? World J Surg 1995;19:858-60. (s)
16. Nachnani J, Supe A. Preoperative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy using clinical and ultrasonographic parameters. Indian Journal of Gastroenterology. 2005;24:16-18. (s)
17. Ibrahim S, Tay KH, Lim SH, et al. Risk factors for conversion to open surgery in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World J Surg 2006;30:1698-1704. (s).